



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigninia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/602,665 06/26/2000 Majid Mir 2942

99-308

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003

26161

7590

08/19/2003

FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110

EXAMINER MAUNG, ZARNI

ART UNIT PAPÉR NUMBER 2154

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Andication N	Applicant(a)	<u> </u>
	Application N .	Applicant(s)	O
Office Action Summary	09/602,665	MIR, MAJID	•
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Zarni Maung	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period f r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the C	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26.	<u>lune 2000</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows			s
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, •	100 0.6. 210.	
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		oved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•		
,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 U.S.C. & 110/s	a) (d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	i priority drider 55 G.G.G. 3 119(8	a)-(u) or (r).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received		
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority document		ion No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application Number: 09/602,665

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-12 are presented for examination.
- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive and imprecise. A new title is required which should include, with up to twenty words, within the title some claimed features which differentiates his invention from the Prior Art. For example, the improvement of the present invention should be reflected within twenty words.
- 3. Claims 7, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claims 7, 11, and 12, the "whereby" clause is conclusive in that it recites a desired goal or end result which does not necessarily follow from the definitions set forth in the body of claim. The claim is thus functional (see MPEP 706.03 (c)). It has been held that the functional "whereby" statement does not define any structure and accordingly can not serve to distinguish. *In re Mason*, 114 USPQ 127, 44 CCPA 937 (1957).

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the

Art Unit: 2154

subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

- 5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over <u>Shrader et al.</u>, U.S. Patent Number 5867713 (hereinafter Shrader), in view of <u>Fitzgerald et al.</u>, U.S. Patent Number 5581764 (hereinafter Fitzgerald).
- Shrader discloses a system and method for changing of a network
 infrastructure (see abstract). Shrader discloses the invention substantially as claimed.
 Taking claim 1 as an exemplary claim, Shrader discloses a method for changing of a network infrastructure, comprising:

creating a change plan that comprises instructions about how a change is to be performed (see abstract; column 2, lines 41-47, figure 3 C, column 9, lines 2-41; Plan construction); associating said change plan with one of a plurality of change categories (see column 2, lines 41-47, figures 3 C, 3 D, column 7, lines 28-62; Group-in-plan, and category-in-plan); providing said change plan to affected entities for approval (see column 2, lines 47-54; column 9, line 42 to column 12, line 48, validating the plans); and

Art Unit: 2154

implementing said change plan after approval has been provided by the affected entities (see column 2, lines 47-54; column 9, line 42 to column 12, line 48); wherein said responsible entities are selected based on, and said change plan is approved in accordance with, rules related to each of said change categories into which said change plan was associated (see column 2, lines 47-54; column 9, line 42 to column 12, line 48).

6. Shrader does not explicitly show the method for managing the changing of a network infrastructure as claimed. However, Shrader suggests the process of changing a network infrastructure (see abstract). Fitzgerald, in the same filed of endeavor, discloses a system and method for managing the changing of a network (see abstract; column 7, line 50 to column 8, line 26). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shrader by including the process of managing the changing of a network because Shrader suggests the use of administrator to monitor the changing process (see column 1, lines 15-62). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify Shrader by including the process of managing in view of Fitzgerald, because doing so would enabled the changing process to operate in a sufficient manner.

Application Number: 09/602,665

Art Unit: 2154

- 7. As per claim 2, Shrader discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said affected entities are unknown to at least one other entity involved in said method (see column 2, lines 5-67, stations not included in group-in-plan).
- 8. As per claim 3, Shrader discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said change plan is part of a change ticket (see column 2, lines 41-67, column 7, line 28 to column 8, line 49, ApplP object containing CustFile and CatIP).
- 9. As per claim 4, Shrader discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said change plan is accessible by a work-flow engine (see column 7, line 28 to column 8, line 49; column 10, line 10 to column 12, line 63).
- 10. As per claim 5, Shrader discloses the method of claim 4, wherein said work-flow engine is implemented through use of a computer system (see column 7, line 28 to column 8, line 49; column 10, line 10 to column 12, line 63).
- 11. As per claim 6, Shrader discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said affected entities comprise one of a customer, a duty manager, a change coordinator, a change approver, and an operations center (see column 2, line 5 to column 5, line 54; column 7, line 28 to column 8, line 49; column 10, line 10 to column 12, line 63; workstation, LAN server 48, LCU, LAN CID utility, CustFile, CatIP, and WksIP objects).

Application Number: 09/602,665

Art Unit: 2154

- 12. As per claim 7, Shrader discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said change categories include one of scheduled change, unscheduled change, and event response (see column 7, line 27 to column 11, line 54; CustFile, CatIP, and WksIP objects).
- 13. As per claims 8-12, they do not teach or further define over the limitations recited in claims 1-7 above. Therefore, claims 8-12 are also rejected for the similar reasons set forth in claims 1-7, <u>supra</u>.
- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- (a) Clean-up of orphaned server context by <u>Bowman-Amuah</u>, U.S. Patent Number 6496850.
- (b) Integrated proxy interface for WEB based telecommunication toll-free network management using a network manager for downloading a call routing tree to client by <u>Delano et al.</u>, U.S. Patent Number 6574661.
- (c) Method and apparatus for modifying a customer order by <u>Athavale et al.</u>, U.S. Patent Number 6539386.
- (d) Method and apparatus for use of an application state storage system in interacting with on-line services by Mittal et al., U.S. Patent Number 6535913.

Art Unit: 2154

(e) Integrated proxy interface for WEB based telecommunications network

management by Munquia et al., U.S. Patent Number 6381644.

(f) Network restoration plan regeneration responsive to network topology

changes by Rogers, U.S. Patent Number 6061735.

15. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three)

months and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within

the period for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 U.S.C

133, M.P.E.P 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Zarni Maung whose telephone number is (703) 308-

6687. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for

this Group is (703) 308-9052. Additionally, the fax numbers for Group 2100 are as

follows:

Official Faxes:

(703) 746-7239

Art Unit: 2154

After Final Responses: (703) 746-7238

Draft Responses: (703) 746-7240

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 305-3900.

ZARNI MAUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER